From: Linda & Doug Elsner [mailto:elsner43@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2010 8:41 PM

To: SR 520 Bridge SDEIS

Subject: I-5 to Medina Replacement

I-219-001

My choice overall is Plan L. More lanes are crucial; preserving the arboretum as much as possible is crucial; until everyone has been impacted by the glut of traffic on 520 (that means more than 1 or 2 times), people who commute daily need to have the easiest and most direct routes available including bicyclists and pedestrians. I think it might be underestimated what a bicycle route would do to help eliminate a few vehicles headed to the UW. Thank you.

I-219-001

Comment noted. WSDOT received a number of comments in support of and in opposition to Options A, K, and L and the suboptions to these options. These opinions are summarized in the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement Summary of Comments (WSDOT, April 2010), available at

http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Projects/SR520Bridge/SDEIS.htm.